

10-142C3



12/24/96 mg  
PATENT  
H 87

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT  
APPEALS & INTERFERENCES**

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Joseph Hummel

Serial No.: 08/424,223

Art Unit: 3503

Filed: April 19, 1995

Examiner: J. Hail

For: KNITTABLE YARN AND SAFETY APPAREL

Docket: 10-142C3

Watts, Hoffmann, Fisher & Heinke  
PO Box 99839  
Cleveland, Ohio 44199-0839

RECEIVED

OCT 16 1996

GROUP 3500

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

NOV - 1 1996  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

**COMMUNICATION AND COPY OF SUPPLEMENTAL  
RULE 132 DECLARATION FROM DIVISIONAL APPLICATION**

Dear Sir:

In connection with a divisional application SN 08/468,880, it was noted in a declaration similar to that filed herein on April 19, 1995, that the values set forth on page 4, paragraph 7, in the column headed "Tensile Modulus," of the declaration filed herein, were incorrect.

A Supplemental Rule 132 Declaration was filed in the divisional application 08/468,880 to correct the error. A copy of the declaration is enclosed herewith to also correct the error in this application by setting forth correct values of Tensile Modulus for the fibers and indicating the nature of the error. It should be noted that the error related only to published information that was set forth.

Because the Rule 132 declarations submitted in this application as well as in the divisional application were to show the tenacity of the various fibers and

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the  
U. S. Postal Service as 1st Class Mail addressed to the  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231


on October 3, 1996

by: Jellen M. Brzula

their cut-resistance, it is submitted that the error relating to tensile modulus was not relevant to the patentability of the claims on appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 3, 1996

  
James G. Watterson  
Reg. No. 20,180